UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

TODD SEWELL Case No: Crim. No. 08-90	United States of America	a)	
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: OB/20/2009 Pro Se / Elisa Long				
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	TODD SEWELL		Case No: Crim. No. 08-90	
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Defendant's Attorney) USM No. 09917-068	
Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment of Any) ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B 1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DERIBD. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to (Complete Parts 1 and 11 of Page 2 when motion is granted) (Complete Parts 1 and 11 of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	Date of Original Judgment:	08/20/2009)	
ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B 1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable. IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DEFINED	_) Pro Se / Elisa Long	
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of			/	
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of				
Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED.	ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION			
Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED.	PUR	SUANT TO	18 U.S.C. 8 3582(c)(2)	
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B 1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: Denied Denied. Granted and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated				
subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B 1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED.	· —			
\$ 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED				
and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED.				
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED.				
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment datedshall remain in effect. So ORDERED. Order Date:06/28/2016		0.5.c. y 5555(a)	, to the extent that they are appreciote,	
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment datedshall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date:				
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment datedshall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date:06/28/2016				
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment datedshall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date:	the last judgment issued) Of	m	nonths is reduced to	
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	(Con	nplete Parts I and II o	f Page 2 when motion is granted)	
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge		•		
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge				
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	Except as otherwise provided, all provis	ions of the judgme	ent dated shall remain in effect.	
Order Date: 06/28/2016 Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	•	, ,		
Effective Date: Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	II IS SO ORDERED.			
Effective Date: Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	Order Date: 06/28/2016	•	Thomas & Cap no hi	
	Older Date.		Judge's signature	
	Effective Date:		Maurice B. Cohill, Jr., United States District Judge	
		,		